

Peel2041+

Preliminary Employment Conversion Analysis

May 2021



Table of Contents

Sectio	on	Page
1.0	Background	3
1.1	Employment Planning Policy Context	3
1.2	Specific Policies for Conversion Review and Non-Employment Flexibility	5
1.3	Regional and Local Municipal Roles in Employment Conversions	8
2.0	Supporting Studies and Analysis	8
2.1	Region of Peel	8
2.2	Town of Caledon	9
2.3	City of Brampton	9
2.4	City of Mississauga	10
2.5	Analysis Summary and Next Steps	10
3.0	Employment Conversion Summary Table	12
4.0	Map of Employment Conversion Requests in Peel	14
4.1	Map Zoomed to Brampton and Caledon	15
4.2	Map Zoomed to Mississauga	16
5.0	Individual Employment Conversion Preliminary Assessments	17
5.1	Caledon Sites	17
5.2	Brampton Sites	21
5.3	Mississauga Sites	49

1.0 Background

The land use planning framework in the Provincial Policy Statement, Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, and Regional Official Plan (ROP) is outlined in this section to provide an understanding of the key policy framework components affecting employment conversions. Both existing ROP policies and those proposed through the Peel 2041+ Regional Official Plan Review are presented.

1.1 Employment Planning Policy Context

Provincial Policy Statement, 2020

The Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) was recently updated and came into effect May 1, 2020. The PPS sets the policy foundation for regulating the development and use of land, with a specific focus on the provincial goal of enhancing quality of life and a vision for long-term prosperity, healthy, liveable, and safe communities. A key component of the strategy to achieve these goals is represented in the PPS policies to identify, plan for, and protect employment areas to secure jobs and promote economic development and competitiveness. A few changes from the previous PPS 2014 introduced new ways to plan for employment.

New policies in the PPS 2020 emphasize that planning authorities should assess employment areas to ensure the planned function is appropriate, and that industrial and manufacturing uses are separated from sensitive uses to maintain long term economic viability (PPS 1.3.2.2 and 1.3.2.3). These policies surrounding land use compatibility and transition sensitive uses are important additions that reflect the increasing pressures on employment areas resulting from cities' efforts to intensify adjacent lands.

Protection of employment areas has also been permitted on a longer planning horizon, beyond 25 years (PPS 1.3.2.7). This may be a useful tool for municipalities to protect for future employment areas on lands that have strategic qualities, like in strategic growth areas. The PPS 2020 further clarifies where employment conversions may be outside of the new provincially significant employment zones introduced through the Growth Plan for the Greater Golder Horseshoe (PPS 1.3.2.5) and discussed in the next section.

A Place to Grow – Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2019

In 2019, the Province released the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (Growth Plan), 2019 which built upon the Growth Plan 2017 to address key issues in securing more housing supply, jobs, and business investments in Ontario. This introduced several changes to how municipalities plan for employment, including a strengthened regional municipal role in employment planning.

Upper-tier municipalities are now required to identify and designate employment areas for protection in regional official plans to conform to Growth Plan policy 2.2.5.6. These employment areas must have minimum density targets established. The policy changes in the Growth Plan 2019 also dictate "one-window" for employment conversions. Once designated, employment areas may only be converted through a Municipal Comprehensive Review (MCR) undertaken by the Region (some exceptions are outlined in section 1.2 of this report).

The Growth Plan, 2019 introduced 31 provincially significant employment zones (PSEZ), six of which are in Peel. The PSEZ are intended to identify employment areas (as well as mixed use

areas) with a significant number of jobs, protect them for the longer term, and act as an economic development tool. Further policy direction on the implementation of mixed uses in PSEZ may be forthcoming.

The Province approved Amendment 1 to the Growth Plan 2019 in August 2020, to provide municipalities with more flexibility to respond to demographic, employment, market demand, and housing affordability trends in the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH). A significant change was to extend the planning horizon from 2041 to 2051 for future growth by updating the Schedule 3 population and employment forecasts. An additional 310,000 people and 100,000 jobs are included in the Region of Peel's forecast by 2051. The Growth Plan now includes additional flexibility for conversions of lands within PSEZs and Major Transit Station Areas.

To support municipal planning for growth, the Province simultaneously proposed an updated land needs assessment methodology with a simplified approach. This would replace the previous detailed methodology with guidance on an outcome-based method.

The Regional Official Plan

The ROP includes three definitions primarily related to employment, which will require updates to reflect the new employment framework in the Growth Plan, 2019, including PSEZs and the regional employment area.

- *Employment Area/Areas:* areas that are designated in *area municipal official plans* for clusters of business and economic activities including, but not limited to, manufacturing, warehousing, offices, and associated retail and *ancillary* facilities. *Employment areas* are designated in *area municipal official plans* as described in Section 5.6.2.6 of this Plan.
- Employment Land/Lands: land within employment areas.
- Ancillary: uses that primarily serve the business functions on employment land.

Currently there is no formally mapped employment designation in the ROP. The in-effect ROP policy 5.6.2.6 defines the employment areas to be supported and protected from conversion to include those designated in local municipal official plans as follows:

- In Mississauga: Industrial, Institutional, Business Employment, or Mixed Use designations in the Mississauga Official Plan employment areas and corporate centres
- In Brampton: Office, Industrial, and certain Business Corridor" designations
- In Caledon: Prestige Industrial, General Industrial, and Dry Industrial designations

Conversions of lands within those employment areas to non employment uses are permitted only through an MCR and subject to parameters in ROP policy 5.6.2.8.

Through the Region's Peel 2041+ MCR, designating an employment area is to be included through the policy text and on a schedule in the amended ROP, as per Growth Plan policy. This draft employment area will form the basis for Regional employment planning, regional-level employment protection, and employment conversion review. Policy 5.6.2.6 outlined above is proposed to be simplified and replaced with draft policy 5.7.2.11 to read:

• "Protect and support Employment Areas as identified on Schedule Y6 of the Regional Official Plan for employment uses."

1.2 Specific Policies for Conversion Review and Non-Employment Flexibility

The Growth Plan

Establishing and preserving employment lands in the Region of Peel is necessary to build and sustain complete communities, foster economic growth and competitiveness, and to achieve employment forecasts to 2051. In accordance with policy 2.2.5.6 of the Growth Plan, 2019, the Region is designating employment lands for the first time through the current Municipal Comprehensive Review (MCR), taking into consideration existing designations and uses, as well as employment conversion requests. The conversion of employment lands to non-employment uses can only take place through a Region-led MCR, and the Growth Plan includes criteria for assessing whether conversions are justified.

2019 Provinci	al Growth Plan Employment Conversion Policies
Policy 2.2.5.9	a. There is a need for the conversion
The conversion of lands within employment areas to non- employment uses may be	b. The lands are not required over the horizon of this Plan for the employment purposes for which they are designated
permitted only through a municipal comprehensive review where it is demonstrated that:	c. The municipality will maintain sufficient employment lands to accommodate forecasted employment growth to the horizon of this Plan
	d. Proposed uses would not adversely affect the overall viability of the employment area or prime employment area or the achievement of the minimum intensification density targets in this Plan, as well as the other policies of this Plan; and
	e. There are existing or planned infrastructure and public service facilities to accommodate the proposed uses.
Policy 2.2.5.10	a. satisfy the requirements of policy 2.2.5.9 a), d) and e);
Notwithstanding policy 2.2.5.9, until the next municipal comprehensive review, lands	b. maintain a significant number of jobs on those lands through the establishment of development criteria; and
within existing employment areas may be converted to a designation that permits non-employment uses, provided the conversion would:	c. not include any part of an employment area identified as a provincially significant employment zone unless the part of the employment area is located within a major transit station area as delineated in accordance with the policies in subsection 2.2.4.

Regional Official Plan

The Region is reviewing conversion requests in the existing employment areas which are protected under existing Regional Official Plan policy 5.6.2.6 and meant to support growth to the year 2031. Employment conversions which remove these lands from the Region's employment area land base for 2031 growth subsequently impact the municipal comprehensive review for growth to 2051. Ultimately if existing employment lands are converted to non-employment uses there will be a loss of jobs (or a loss of lands that could provide jobs) within the existing urban area. The employment planning framework restricts conversions to prevent the export of jobs and economic activity out of our municipalities, maintain a healthy employment activity rate, and keep employment conversion policy proposed through the Peel 2041+ MCR is as follows:

Draft Regior	nal Official Plan Employment Conversion Policy
Policy 5.7.2.16	i) There is a need for the conversion;
Permit the conversion of lands within Employment Areas identified on Schedule Y6, to non-	ii) The lands are not required over the horizon of the plan for the employment use they were designated;
mployment uses, only through a nunicipal comprehensive review ndertaken by the Region that emonstrates:	iii)The Region and local municipalities will maintain sufficient employment land continue to meet the employment forecasts of this Plan;
	iv) The conversion does not affect the overall viability of the Employment Area and the achievement of intensification and density targets;
	v) There is existing or planned infrastructure and public service facilities to accommodate the proposed conversion;
	vi) The lands are not required over the long-term for employment purposes;
	vii) The lands do not fulfill the criteria for provincially significant employment lands;
	viii) The lands do not affect the operations or viability of existing or permitted employment uses on nearby lands; and
	ix) Cross-jurisdictional issues have been considered
	For the purposes of this policy, major retail, residential and nonancillary uses are not considered employment uses unless already permitted by a designation in the Employment Area of the local municipalities' official plan.
Please	e note that draft policy numbers may change.

To address the complex nature of planning for employment, the evolving visions for strategic growth areas in the Region, and areas where additional technical work may be required for a fulsome picture of appropriate land uses, the Region has proposed policies in the draft Regional Official Plan Amendment (ROPA) to permit additional uses in select areas. For employment areas likely facing transition and development in the future, it is still valuable to maintain the underlying employment designation to be included in the ROP while adding policies to facilitate additional non-employment uses to be permitted. In these areas, the existing and surrounding employment uses are still valuable assets and employers, so the flexible employment policies outlined below require demonstration of land use compatibility while introducing the new community building land uses. These new policies follow the direction provided in the Employment Strategy Discussion Papers described in Section 2.1 of this report.

First, local municipalities may accommodate new retail and commercial uses in Employment Areas by designating lands Business Corridor in Brampton or Mixed-Use in Mississauga, subject to a municipally initiated study and local official plan policies. Some examples of these areas include:

• Steeles Avenue Business Corridor Uses (primarily east of Kennedy Road)

- Bovaird Drive Business Corridor Uses (from McLaughlin Road to Main Street)
- Dixie Road and Eglinton Avenue intersection Mixed Uses

Second, the employment areas within select delineated major transit station areas (MTSA) on Schedule Y6 – Employment Areas may be permitted to include retail, residential, commercial, and non-ancillary uses without an amendment to the ROP, subject to the completion of a municipally-initiated planning study underway. These select MTSAs have complex considerations regarding timing of transit infrastructure, transition, and land use compatibility:

- Clarkson GO Station (LWGO-2)
- Bolton GO Station (HUB-1)
- Queen BRT Stations between Torbram Road and Highway 50 (QUE-9 to QUE-15)
- Bramalea GO Station (KIT-2)

Draft Regio	nal Official Plan Flexible Employment Policies
Policy 5.7.2.17 Notwithstanding Section 5.7.2.16,	i. the lands to be re-designated are located on the periphery of an Employment Area;
the local municipalities may accommodate new retail and commercial uses in <i>Employment</i>	ii. the building(s) have direct frontage onto a corridor that is supported by existing or planned <i>higher order transit</i> ;
Areas by designating lands Business Corridor in Brampton or Mixed-Use in Mississauga, subject	iii. the proposed uses are accommodated in a multi-storey mixed-use office building;
to a municipally initiated study and	iv. appropriate transition and buffering are provided to sensitive uses;
local official plan policies to the satisfaction of the Region that demonstrate the following:	v. the planned function and viability of the Employment Area including movement of goods are not adversely impacted; and
	vii. the development exceeds the minimum Employment Area density for the local municipality prescribed in Section 5.7.2.12.
Policy 5.2.7.18	i. the requirements of Section 5.6.2.4 of this Plan;
Notwithstanding Section 5.7.2.16, Employment Areas within delineated Major Transit Station	ii. an overall net increase to the total jobs planned for the Employment Area within the delineated boundary;
Areas on Schedule Y6 – Employment Areas have the potential to support the integration	iii. maintaining an <i>employment land</i> use designation to protect for higher density office uses;
of <i>Employment Areas</i> with non- employment uses to develop vibrant, mixed use areas, and innovation hubs. Retail, residential, commercial, and non-ancillary uses may be permitted without the	iv. how the viability of the <i>Employment Area</i> will be protected from the impacts of sensitive land uses, including mitigation measures and at the direction of the local municipality may also be required to contain an assessment of various environmental considerations such as impact on local <i>airsheds</i> ;
requirement of an amendment to this Plan, subject to the completion of a municipal initiated planning	v. maintain a minimum employment to population ratio across the subject lands;
study underway and the implementing local official plan	vi. that <i>higher order transit</i> is planned for the <i>Major Transit Station Area</i> within the planning horizon;

amendment as per Section 16(16) of the Planning Act to the satisfaction of the Region. The	vii. the development of <i>complete communities</i> and <i>transit-supportive</i> densities including employment uses; and				
planning study shall address:	viii. demonstrate how <i>transit-supportive</i> employment densities will be achieved.				
Please note that draft policy numbers may change.					

As part of the growth management and employment planning focus area of the MCR, this document presents an initial Employment Conversion Analysis based on the policies of the growth plan, regional official plan, and other strategic considerations. The Region generally holds the position that all employment lands are needed, but further consideration is given where conversions may be appropriate in strategic areas.

1.3 Regional and Local Municipal Roles in Employment Conversions

This Regional MCR is the first to be conducted under the new Growth Plan policy framework which requires employment areas to be designated by upper-tier municipalities. As the responsibility for employment land designation is now at the Regional level, the role of reviewing employment conversions has also been assigned to the Regional MCR.

Some employment conversion requests in this document have a history which began before the Growth Plan, 2017, therefore their supporting studies and analysis were initiated at the local municipal level (see section 2.0 of this report). The Region has respected where these local municipal studies recommended employment conversions which were in turn endorsed or approved by local municipal councils. That direction has been carried forward.

Any requests for conversion received since 2017 have been reviewed by Regional staff in collaboration with local municipal staff. Ultimately, the Regional staff recommendations stemming from this work are to be presented to Regional Council and addressed through a ROPA if supported for approval.

The employment conversion summary table in section 3.0 of this report divides the areas considered by their status in previous local municipal studies, other areas considered, and additional requests.

2.0 Supporting Studies and Analysis

2.1 Region of Peel

Cushman & Wakefield prepared an Employment Strategy Discussion Paper for the Region of Peel that outlines the current context of employment and provides strategies for achieving the Region's employment forecasts.

In their recommendations, Cushman & Wakefield highlight the importance of protecting employment lands as the default position, and further, that encroachment by sensitive uses (e.g. schools, places of workshop) should be monitored as these intrusions also pose a risk to the long-term viability of the Region's employment base. At the same time, specific conversions may be justified to support wider community building objectives, for example to allow for intensification around certain Major Transit Station Areas. An addendum is being completed to reflect the 2051 planning horizon, changes in the provincial planning framework and Regional Council direction, overall economic shifts, and trends and impacts from COVID-19.

2.2 Caledon

The Caledon Employment Land Needs Study, completed by Watson and Associates in September 2007 and amended in February 2010 projected employment growth in Bolton, Mayfield West, Tullamore, and the remaining Rural area and determined whether additional employment land was needed to accommodate this growth. It was determined that settlement expansions are needed in Bolton, Mayfield West, and Tullamore to provide for the identified employment land needs to 2031. Settlement expansions were completed to accommodate these employment land needs in Caledon, such as ROPA 28 (South-Albion Bolton Employment Area in Bolton).

The Town also completed a 2019 Queen Street Corridor Study which reviewed Queen Street and Highway 50 in Bolton for redevelopment potential and streetscape improvements. The report highlighted lands adjacent to Highway 50, some which overlap the existing employment areas, as potential areas for intensification gateways and a new commercial core. While the study identified this potential, the primary recommendation of the report was to complete an environmental assessment for the downtown section of Queen Street, and the secondary recommendation was for the report to inform updated secondary plans in the Caledon OP. The land use recommendations of the report have not been implemented at this time.

2.3 Brampton

In 2015, the City of Brampton undertook an MCR for employment conversions, which was a local municipal responsibility prior to the Growth Plan, 2017. The City of Brampton approved the conversion of 6 sites as part of their MCR, informed by several studies and background reports. These sites are currently under appeal at the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal.

N. Barry Lyon Consultants Limited was retained to analyze the lands in the Bram East Secondary Plan, an area that received several requests for conversion through the Brampton MCR. In their analysis, they recommend a review of the Bram East Secondary Plan to establish a comprehensive vision for the lands which would support the viability of the entire area for major office investment.

Cushman & Wakefield provided a market analysis for the conversion requests being considered in the context of industrial and office employment trends, and offer a site-specific breakdown that considers the site conditions and existing land uses, clustering of land uses, transportation and transit access, proximity to residential lands and other sensitive land uses, and recent development activity.

Hemson Consulting Ltd. provided a recommendation report on the conversion requests, in which they recommend conversion of 3 of 13 sites based on their assessed ability to contribute to employment growth in the long term. This report highlights that all current employment lands are required to meet employment forecasts for Brampton, and conversions are not needed to ensure sufficient residential land supply. Hemson's recommendation report was followed by two addenda analyzing 69 Bramalea Rd., 75 Bramalea Rd., and 106 East Dr., at the direction of

Brampton Council. These conversions were considered in the context of the Bramalea Station Proof of Concept developed by Perkins + Will, which identified the potential for conversion of certain lands along Bramalea Rd. to mixed use, transitioning to "low impact industrial" adjacent to the remaining employment uses. In their analysis, Hemson cautioned against proceeding with conversions for these sites prior to the establishment of a policy framework and stated the need to ensure that the overall viability of the employment lands to the east are protected from residential encroachment.

In May 2018, the City of Brampton released "Living the Mosaic: Brampton 2040 Vision", a document outlining Brampton's vision for community building to the year 2040. The vision included new direction for development across the city and highlighted a need for a jobshousing balance that preserves local employment opportunities for Brampton residents.

In 2021 with the Brampton Official Plan Review underway, the City of Brampton has started work to draft a revised City Structure, which identifies employment areas as well as Urban Centres, Town Centres, and Urban boulevards which may be appropriate for the introduction of additional mixed uses. The Region and the City of Brampton are working to develop policy in alignment with this vision, including in the process of planning for employment. These areas are important considerations in both the City and Regional structure and are being considered in review of employment conversions.

2.4 Mississauga

The City of Mississauga also undertook a Municipal Comprehensive Review for employment conversions in 2015. Phase 1 of Mississauga's two-phase approach to employment conversions identifies the potential for conversion of lands in the Northeast Employment Area, Lakeview Employment Area, and Dixie Employment Area. The Phase 1 MCR report recommends the protection of employment areas other than those identified above.

In 2016, an update to the Phase 1 MCR was produced with specific focus on conversion of lands adjacent to Major Transit Station Areas. Through this report it was recommended that the City (responsible for conversions at the time) consider the conversion of certain mixed-use employment lands within employment areas adjacent to MTSAs through detailed MTSA planning work. In Phase 2 of Mississauga's MCR, three major community planning initiatives informed and refined the lands recommended for conversion: MyMalton, Inspiration Lakeview, and Dundas Connects. The lands identified through these detailed planning studies were recommended for their potential to create community spaces on underutilized lands and to optimize proximity to transit infrastructure.

The recommendations of the Dundas Connects Master Plan were endorsed by Mississauga Council in December 2018, and a draft Official Plan Amendment is being developed by City Staff to formalize policy for the area, in conjunction with Major Transit Station Area policy implementation. In recognition of the change in land uses that may occur over time to implement the Dundas Connects Master Plan, city staff have developed a Dundas Connects Land Use Compatibility Terms of Reference for development applicants to use in the future to assess whether sensitive land uses may be appropriate in proximity to employment uses.

2.5 Analysis Summary and Next Steps

In general, the studies reviewed as part of this work align with the Region's position that the majority of Peel's employment lands must be preserved in the interest of maintaining a strong

economic base and supporting the development of complete communities over the long term. Where individual sites have been recommended for conversion, it has been sufficiently demonstrated that larger community building objectives will be served, such as intensification in strategic growth areas and optimizing investment in transit infrastructure.


- 41 Employment conversions requests received (including local or regional MCR initiatives and private requests)
- 380 hectares of land have been requested to be converted
- 273.4 hectares are supported by the Region to be converted (the majority within Dundas Connects)
- 71 hectares are proposed to be considered through flexible policy criteria

Regional staff will provide correspondence to the parties that have requested conversions to inform them of the preliminary assessments of their proposals, and next steps in the Regional municipal comprehensive review. To prepare for the Regional Official Plan Amendment recommendation to Regional council, staff will continue to consider new information provided (including progress on local municipal planning initiatives) to refine the employment conversion analysis and draft regional employment area mapping. This work will be incorporated into the larger employment planning framework.


		Region of Peel Employ	ment Conve	rsion Summary	
	#	Name/Location	Municipality	Staff Recommendation	Area (Ha)
<u>,</u>	B1	Alpa Stone	Brampton	Support/LPAT appeal withdrawn	2.1
Approved by Local Council prior to July 1 2017	B2	Castlemore Country Properties	Brampton	Support/LPAT appeal withdrawn	4.6
/ed by l orior to 2017	B3	Ouray Dev. Inc.	Brampton	Support/LPAT appeal withdrawn	3.1
oved il pric 20	B4	Royal Pine	Brampton	Support/LPAT appeal withdrawn	7.0
ppr	B5	TACC Holborn	Brampton	Support/See O. Reg 171/20	14.3
A	B6	69 Bramalea Rd.	Brampton	Support/Approved at LPAT	0.8
0				Subtotal	31.9
=	M1	Lakeview	Mississauga	Support	24.8
	M2	Dundas Connects – Dixie & Mavis*	Mississauga	Support	136.7
y lo	M3	MyMalton – Great Punjab Centre	Mississauga	Support	7.4
Endorsed or considered by local Planning Study	M4	Clarkson GO (LWGO-2)	Mississauga	MTSA to form the basis of draft ROP policy 5.7.2.18 (Flexible Policy Area) ¹	N/A
En onsic Plar	M18	Dundas Connects – Western Business Park*	Mississauga	Support	77.1
ŭ				Subtotal	246
Other Areas Considered	C1	Bolton GO (HUB-1)	Caledon	Partial Support (MZO Lands) / MTSA to form the basis of draft ROP policy 5.7.2.18 (Flexible Policy Area) ¹	2.6 of 10.5 / N/A
eas Cor	B25	Bramalea GO (KIT-2)	Brampton	MTSA to form the basis of draft ROP policy 5.7.2.18 (Flexible Policy Area) ¹	N/A
ther Ar	B26	Queen Street BRT MTSAs from Torbram to Hwy 50 (QUE-9 to QUE-15)	Brampton	MTSA to form the basis of draft ROP policy 5.7.2.18 (Flexible Policy Area) ¹	N/A
0				Subtotal	2.6
	C2	11 Perdue Court	Caledon	Not Supported	1.6
	C3	159 & 131 Industrial Road and 12380 Albion-Vaughan Townline	Caledon	Not Supported	5.5
5	C4	41 Hopcroft Road	Caledon	Not Supported	0.8
gio	B7	106 East Drive	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ¹	0.6
Re	B8	75 Bramalea Rd.	Brampton	Support	1.5
the	B9	9381 and 9393 McLaughlin Road North	Brampton	Not Supported	4.4
d to	B10	Castlepoint Invest Inc.	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ¹	8.8
tec	B11	1000 Steeles Ave E. (Bacardi)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	5.0
Private Requests Submitted to the Region	B12	2111 Steeles Ave E. (Canadian Tire)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ^{1,2}	4.9
S (B13	3420 Queen St. E	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ^{1,2}	4.3
sts	B14	18 Corporation Drive	Brampton	Not Supported	1.2
enl	B15	10 Victoria Crescent (Delta Urban)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ¹	1.1
Sec	B16	26 Victoria Crescent (Delta Urban)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ¹	2.6
ate F	B17	376 Orenda Road (Delta Urban)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area 1,2	1.7
riv	B18	387 Orenda Road (Delta Urban)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ^{1,2}	2.0
д.	B19	391 Orenda Road (Delta Urban)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ^{1,2}	4.0
	B20	10394 Hurontario St. (GWD)	Brampton	Not Supported	0.4
	B21	Steeles (Kennedy to Hwy 410)	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	23.8
	B22	150 Bovaird Drive	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	1.2

3.0 Employment Conversion Summary Table


	B23	10064 Hurontario Street	Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	1.9
	B24	Proposed Place of Worship (N side of Countryside, west of Coleraine)	Brampton	Not Supported	8.0
	B27 9400 Goreway Drive B28 10124 Hurontario B29 2250, 2280 and 2300 Queen St E		Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	1.8
			Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	0.9
			Brampton	Within a Flexible Policy Area ^{1,2}	3.5
	M13	2120 Dundas St. E	Mississauga	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	0.5
	M14 Dundas St. E & Mattawa Ave (GSAI)		Mississauga	Not Supported	7.3
	M15	Stanfield (GSAI)	Mississauga	Not Supported	6.7
	M17	1000 Dundas St. E. (Plan Logic)**	Mississauga	Support	0.4
	M22	1699-1701 Dundas St. E**	Mississauga	Support	1.3
	M23	5170 Dixie Road	Mississauga	Within a Flexible Policy Area ²	1.9
				Subtotal	104.4
employme recomme	ent areas ndations	Mavis-Erindale, and Western Business s as identified in the Dundas Connects s within the supported Dundas Connect	Master Plan	Total Requested	380
and will b by Region ¹ These p subject to	e remover n" sums properties draft R	ed from the "Total Requested" and "Tot to avoid double counting. s are within an area with draft flexible p OP policy 5.7.2.18 – Lands may be per byment uses post-regional municipal co	Total Supported by Region	273.4	
review su ² These p ROP Polie retail and	bject to o properties cy 5.7.2. comme		Total Subject to Draft Flexible Policies	71	



4.0 Map of Employment Conversion Requests in Peel



4.1 Map of Employment Conversion Requests in Peel – Zoomed to Brampton and Caledon



4.2 Map of Employment Conversion Requests in Peel – Zoomed to Mississauga

5.0 Individual Employment Conversion Initial Assessments

5.1 Caledon Sites

C1		Bolton GO MTS	A (HUB-1)					
Applicant:		Considered by R	egion					
PSEZ:		N/A						
Local Designation	on:	Partial Dry Indust	trial (Caledon OP)	& partial prime agr	icultural area (Reg	jional OP)		
Existing use:		Dry Industrial / Agricultural						
Proposed use:		TBD & See Minister's Zoning Order Permissions						
Area Reviewed:		10.5 ha (dry indu	strial lands in the c	Iraft Bolton GO MT	SA Boundary as p	er the Peel MTSA	Study)	
Recommendatio	n:	Recommended f	or conversion in pa	nrt, at 0 Humber St	ation Road (third p	arcel north of King	Street)	
		continue to be in may be consider	the employment a ed through compre	rea, while additiona	al mixed uses (incl	.7.2.18). The rema uding commercial a		
Area Supported	for Conversion:	2.63 ha (0 Humb	,					
			Sum					
It is noted that overall, the draft Bolton GO MTSA is a "planned" station for which the classification and boundary continues to be reviewed and will be refined prior to delineation in the ROP. In June 2020 the Region identified this MTSA on draft employment schedule Y6 as an MTSA that would benefit from flexible employment policies to permit mixed uses post-MCR, subject to specified criteria. Because this flexibility would apply to the MTSA when delineated, the Region does not recommend conversion of the full extent of employment land in the MTSA. On March 5, 2021 a Minister's Zoning Order (MZO) was made under the Planning Act through <u>Ontario Regulation 171/21</u> . The lands east of Humber Station Road and north of the settlement boundary are a Mobility Transit Hub Zone (a public transit depot with specified non-residential accessory uses).								
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	
Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	

C2		11 Perdue Court	11 Perdue Court					
Applicant:		Harper Dell & As	sociates					
PSEZ:		N/A						
Local Designation	on:	Prestige Industria	al (Caledon OP)					
Existing use:		Vacant						
Proposed use:		To permit a hotel	commercial use a	nd retirement resid	lence/institutional u	lse		
Area Reviewed:		1.63 ha						
Recommendatio	on:	Not recommende	Not recommended for conversion.					
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A						
_		I	Sum	mary				
residence on the These parcels ha employment area	The property has been severed and the applicant has proposed a hotel on the northern portion fronting Perdue Court (0.6 ha), and a retirement residence on the southern portion now fronting Airport Road (1.03 ha). These parcels have a prime location in the Tullamore employment area, which is intended to be preserved long-term and become part of a larger employment area as per the Region's draft conceptual settlement area boundary expansion to 2051. As a vacant parcel of prestige industrial land and considering the proposed uses can be located elsewhere in the Region and Town, the conversion is not supported.							
Is there a need	Lands	Maintain	Does the	Can existing	In a Strategic	Would Retail/	Would MTSA	
for the Conversion?	Required for employment purposes	sufficient employment land if removed	proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	and planned infrastructure support the use?	Growth Area?	Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	
Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y (hard infrastructure)	Ν	N	N	

		159 & 131 Indus	trial Road and 12	380 Albion-Vaugh	nan Townline			
Applicant:		BPA Inc. (Bessa	nt Pelech Associat	es Inc.)				
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 15, F	Pearson Airport Hul	b (Hwy 50 Corridor	.)			
Local Designation	on:	Dry Industrial (Ca	aledon OP)					
Existing use:		Industrial, truck storage, open storage						
Proposed use:		To permit redevelopment opportunities (TBD)						
Area Reviewed:		5.5 ha						
Recommendatio	n:	Not recommende	ed for conversion, u	under further review	<i>N.</i>			
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A						
			Sum	mary				
 Two of the four requested parcels (western and southern parcel) were identified in the 2019 Queen Street Corridor Study for potential infill opportunities and a potential intensification gateway. If a transition from Highway 50 to the parcels affected by the study was made, this would leave the eastern parcels with frontage on Albion Vaughan Road remaining as employment. The remaining employment lands would be isolated as a small pocket bounded by residential to the south, and some existing industrial uses to the north. With this in mind, converting all the parcels may be appropriate if the entire area is to transition to mixed uses over time, providing replacement employment opportunities of a non-industrial nature. Further information justifying conversion would need to include: Further details on the proposed uses which can inform assessment of the conversion criteria If the Queen Street Corridor Study is to be advanced comprehensively by the Town of Caledon, how this proposed conversion and intended land uses contribute to achieving the strategic goals of the study for land use changes to create an intensification gateway in Bolton. Confirmation of provincial support in lifting the PSEZ. 								
Further informatic - Further d - If the Que intended Bolton. - Confirma	etails on the propo een Street Corrido land uses contribu tion of provincial s	used uses which ca r Study is to be adv tte to achieving the upport in lifting the	n inform assessmo vanced comprehen strategic goals of t PSEZ.	ent of the conversion sively by the Town the study for land u	on criteria of Caledon, how t use changes to cre	his proposed conv ate an intensificati	f a non-industrial ersion and on gateway in	
Further informatic - Further d - If the Que intended Bolton.	etails on the propo een Street Corrido land uses contribu	used uses which ca r Study is to be adv ate to achieving the	n inform assessmo vanced comprehen strategic goals of t	ent of the conversion sively by the Town	on criteria of Caledon, how t	his proposed conv	f a non-industrial rersion and	

		41 Hopcroft Roa	ld						
Applicant:		API Developmen	t Consultants Inc.						
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 15, F	Yes – Zone 15, Pearson Airport Hub (Hwy 50 Corridor)						
Local Designation	on:	Dry Industrial (Caledon OP) – majority of parcel Bolton Highway 50 Commercial (Caledon OP) – eastern portion of parcel							
Existing use:		Vacant residential dwelling							
Proposed use:		The applicant is p	proposing to consti	ruct a seven storey	, 114 room hotel w	vith meeting space			
Area Reviewed:		0.8 ha (employm	ent designation in a	a 0.91 ha property))				
Recommendatio	on:	Not recommende	ed for conversion.						
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A							
			Sum	mary					
SummaryThis proposal has been received as a development application (pre-consultation), and no request through the Region's MCR has been filed at this time. The requested parcel (western and southern parcel) was identified in the 2019 Queen Street Corridor Study which reviewed Queen Street and Highway 50 in Bolton for redevelopment potential and streetscape improvements. The report highlighted the lands for potential infill opportunities and a potential new commercial core. While the study identified this potential, the primary recommendation of the report was to 									
including the just Is there a need for the	ification gathered f Lands Required for employment	rom previous studio Maintain sufficient employment	bes like the 2019 Qu Does the proposed use impact the	ueen St. Corridor s Can existing and planned infrastructure	tudy and information In a Strategic	on from the applica Would retail/ commercial flexibility	core in Bolton. e Province ant. Would MTSA flexibility apply? (draft		

5.2 Brampton Sites

B1		Alpa Stone (Northeastern corner of Part of Lot 6, Concession 8 (Northern Divisions) (Township of Toronto Gore), on Goreway Drive north of Queen Street East)							
Applicant:									
PSEZ: N/A									
Local Designation: Previously Business Corridor (Brampton OP)									
Existing use:		Vacant							
Proposed use:		Residential or mi	xed use						
Area Reviewed:		2.1 ha							
Recommendatio	n:	Conversion in eff	ect						
Area Supported	for Conversion:	2.1 ha							
			Sum	mary					
			al comprehensive supported under the				hrough Official		
Region supported and the conversion	The Brampton Official Plan Amendment supporting the conversion of Alpa Stone was under appeal at the LPAT (PL170674), and while the Region supported the conversion as a result of the MCR in principle, we continued to monitor the appeal. The appeal has since been withdrawn, and the conversions are now approved. The Regional employment area has been modified to exclude this site. Market Analysis of Employment Land Conversions – Cushman & Wakefield								
	nent Lands – Hem								
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		
Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y		

B2		Castlemore Cou	Castlemore Country Properties (North side of Castlemore Rd, between Clarkway Dr and Highway 50)								
Applicant:		Glen Schnarr and	d Associates / Rev	iew in City of Bram	pton MCR						
PSEZ:		N/A									
Local Designation	on:	Business Corrido	or (Brampton OP); I	Vixed Commercial	/Industrial (SP)						
Existing use:		Vacant/Agricultural									
Proposed use:		Residential									
Area Reviewed:	d: 4.6 ha										
Recommendation: Conversion in effect											
Area Supported	for Conversion:	4.6 ha									
		1	Sum	mary							
Plan Amendment The Brampton Of and while the Reg	OP 2006-127. Th ficial Plan Amendr gion supported the	ugh a local municip e conversion was s nent supporting the conversion as a re ns are now approve	supported under th e conversion of Cases esult of the MCR in	e Growth Plan, 200 stlemore Country F principle, we conti	06, in effect at the t Properties was und inued to monitor th	time. er appeal at the LF e appeal. The app	PAT (PL170675), eal has since				
	of Employment Lar ment Lands – Hem	nd Conversions – C Ison Consulting	Cushman & Wakefi	<u>eld</u>							
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)				
Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	N	Y	N				

B3		Ouray Dev. Inc.	(North side of Fog	gal Road betweer	n Highway 50 and	The Gore Rd)	Ouray Dev. Inc. (North side of Fogal Road between Highway 50 and The Gore Rd)								
Applicant:		Review in City of	Brampton MCR												
PSEZ:		N/A	N/A												
Local Designation	on:	Business Corrido	Business Corridor, SPA 13 (Brampton OP), Mixed Commercial/Industrial (SP)												
Existing use:		Vacant/Agricultu	Vacant/Agricultural												
Proposed use:		Residential													
Area Reviewed: 3.1 ha															
Recommendation: Conversion in effect															
Area Supported for Conversion: 3.1 ha															
			Sum	mary											
Plan Amendment The Brampton Off Region supported	OP 2006-128. Th ficial Plan Amendr I the conversion as	ugh a local municip le conversion was s ment supporting the s a result of the MC	supported under the e conversion of Our CR in principle, we d	e Growth Plan, 200 ray Dev. Inc. was u continued to monit	06, in effect at the under appeal at the or the appeal. The	time. e LPAT (PL170676 appeal has since), and while the								
Market Analysis o Bram East Emplo	of Employment Lar	ved. The Regional nd Conversions – C aw – N. Barry Lyon	Cushman & Wakefi		to exclude this she	e.									
MCR for Employn	<u>nent Lands – Hem</u> Lands	Maintain	Does the	Can existing	In a Stratogic	Would Retail/	Would MTSA								
for the Conversion?	required for employment	sufficient employment land if	proposed use impact the viability of	and planned infrastructure support the	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft	Flexibility apply? (draft								
	purposes	removed	surrounding lands?	use?	N	policy)	policy)								

B4		Royal Pine, Part Road)	of Lot 4 and 5, C	oncession 9 (Nor	th side of Queen	Street East, west	of The Gore				
Applicant:		Candevcon / Rev	view in City of Bran	npton MCR							
PSEZ:		N/A	N/A								
Local Designation	on:		Business Corridor, Office (Brampton OP), Mixed Commercial/Industrial, Office Node, Med. Density Residential, Cluster High Density Residential (SP)								
Existing use:		Vacant/Agricultu	Vacant/Agricultural/Existing Single Dwelling								
Proposed use:		Residential and a Special Land Use Policy Area 15									
Area Reviewed:		7.0 ha									
Recommendatio	n:	Conversion in effect									
Area Supported	for Conversion:	7.0 ha									
		1	Sum	mary							
Plan Amendment The Brampton Of Region supported and the conversion <u>Market Analysis of Bram East Employ</u> <u>MCR for Employ</u>	This conversion was assessed through a local municipal comprehensive review then approved by the City of Brampton Council through Official Plan Amendment OP 2006-129. The conversion was supported under the Growth Plan, 2006, in effect at the time. The Brampton Official Plan Amendment supporting the conversion of Royal Pine was under appeal at the LPAT (PL170677), and while the Region supported the conversion as a result of the MCR in principle, we continued to monitor the appeal. The appeal has since been withdrawn, and the conversions are now approved. The Regional employment area has been modified to exclude this site. Market Analysis of Employment Land Conversions – Cushman & Wakefield Bram East Employment Land Review – N. Barry Lyon Consultants Ltd. MCR for Employment Lands – Hemson Consulting										
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)				
Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y				

B5			Part of Lot 4 and	5, Concession 10	(East side of The	Gore Road, betw	veen Fogal Rd				
A 12 4		and Queen Stre			100						
Applicant:			rsons / Review in	City of Brampton N	ICR						
PSEZ:		N/A									
Local Designation	on:	Business Corrido SPA 8 (SP)	Business Corridor, Office (Brampton OP), Neighbourhood Retail, Mixed Commercial/Industrial, Valleyland, SPA 8 (SP)								
Existing use:		Vacant/Agricultu	/acant/Agricultural								
Proposed use:		Residential and S	Special Land Use F	Policy Area 19							
Area Reviewed:		14.3 ha									
Recommendatio	n:	Conversion in eff	ect								
Area Supported	for Conversion:	14.3 ha									
			Sum	mary							
Region supported On April 24, 2020 use changes for a converted), and la converted). It is re <u>Market Analysis of</u> <u>Bram East Employ</u> <u>MCR for Employn</u>	The Brampton Official Plan Amendment supporting the conversion of TACC Holborn was under appeal at the LPAT (PL170677), and while the Region supported the conversion as a result of the MCR in principle, we continued to monitor the appeal. On April 24, 2020 a Minister's Zoning Order (MZO) was made under the Planning Act through <u>Ontario Regulation 171/20</u> . This introduced land use changes for a commercial zone at the intersection of Queen Street and the Gore which includes office and accessory uses (not to be converted), and land uses including open space, residential, residential high density, and environmental protection zones (areas to be converted). It is recommended that this area be removed from the regional employment area to meet the land uses permitted as per the MZO. <u>Market Analysis of Employment Land Conversions – Cushman & Wakefield</u> <u>Bram East Employment Land Review – N. Barry Lyon Consultants Ltd.</u> MCR for Employment Lands – Hemson Consulting										
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)				
Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y				

B6		69 Bramalea Rd								
Applicant:		Glen Schnarr & A	Associates / Review	v in City of Brampt	on MCR					
PSEZ:		N/A	N/A							
Local Designation	on:	Office (Brampton	Office (Brampton OP), Mixed-Use (Office & Retail) (SP)							
Existing use:		Commercial Plaz	а							
Proposed use:		Mixed uses inclu	Mixed uses including residential							
Area Reviewed:		0.8 ha								
Recommendatio	n:	Conversion in effect								
Area Supported	for Conversion:	ersion: 0.8 ha								
			Sumi	mary						
Plan Amendment The Brampton Of Region supported	This conversion was assessed through a local municipal comprehensive review then approved by the City of Brampton Council through Official Plan Amendment OP 2006-133. The conversion was supported under the Growth Plan, 2006, in effect at the time. The Brampton Official Plan Amendment supporting the conversion of 69 Bramalea Rd was under appeal at the LPAT (PL170865), and while the Region supported the conversion as a result of the MCR in principle, we continued to monitor the appeal. The appeal has since been withdrawn, and the conversions are now approved. The Regional employment area has been modified to exclude this site.									
		oyment Land Conv		<u> 89 Bramalea Rd.</u>						
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Lands required for employment purposes	r <u>the Bramalea GO</u> Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Mobility Hub Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)			
Y	Ν	Y								

		106 East Drive								
Applicant:		Requested by the	e City / Reviewed i	n Brampton MCR						
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14, F	Pearson Airport Hul	b (Airport)						
Local Designation	on:	Office (Bramptor	n OP), Mixed-Use ((Office & Retail) (S	P)					
Existing use:		Industrial								
Proposed use:		Unknown								
Area Reviewed:	Area Reviewed: 0.6 ha									
Recommendatio	on:	The conversion is not currently supported through the Regional MCR. Non-Employment uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning for the Bramalea Go MTSA, subject to Flexible Employment Policy (5.7.2.18).								
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A								
			Sum	mary						
Area. The conver Hub. The draft Er acting as a buffer employment uses	ly to the east of 69 rsion of this site is the merging Concept for with adjacent resident to the east and no merging Concept for	being considered ir or the Bramalea GC dential uses. Emplo ot be converted at t	n the context of Re D Mobility Hub reco byment conversion this time.	gional and local we ommended the site analysis to date h	ork regarding MTS	As and the Brama w industrial for the	lea GO Mobility purposes of			
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would retail/ commercial flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA flexibility apply? (draft policy)			
N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y			

B8	75 Bramalea Rd
Applicant:	SGL Planning & Design Inc.
PSEZ:	Yes – Zone 14 Pearson Airport Hub
Local Designation:	Office (Brampton OP), Mixed-Use (Office & Retail) (SP)
Existing use:	One-storey industrial building
Proposed use:	Mixed Residential building with retail, office and service commercial uses on the ground floor
Area Reviewed:	1.5 ha
Recommendation:	Supported for conversion
Area Supported for Conversion:	1.5 ha

Summary

This property is on the periphery of the employment area at the transition to residential uses existing to the north. This site is also directly to the north of 69 Bramalea Rd. in Brampton's Secondary Plan 38 which has been supported for conversion. The conversion of this site is being considered in the context of Regional and local work regarding MTSAs and the Bramalea GO Mobility Hub. The draft Emerging Concept for the Bramalea GO Mobility Hub recommended the eastern portion of the site be retained as "low impact industrial" for the purposes of acting as a buffer with adjacent employment land employment uses.

Through Brampton's 2017 MCR Hemson Consulting provided an analysis of the site in an report on employment land conversions, recommending that if the lands were converted, it would be limited to the western portion of the site in the interest of maintaining the integrity of the employment lands to the east. The applicant's proposed uses on the site include non-residential on the ground floor and were estimated to have the potential to provide more jobs than the current warehouse land use. Considering the site's position at the northern boundary of the employment area, the conversion approved to the south, and the mixed uses intended in the proposal, it is appropriate for this site to be converted as part of a mixed-use transition into the community to the north. <u>Perkins + Will Emerging Concept for the Bramalea GO Mobility Hub</u> & Peel MTSA Study

Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would retail/ commercial flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA flexibility apply? (draft policy)
N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Ý

B9	9381 and 9393 McLaughlin Road North						
Applicant:	Wellings Planning Consultants Inc.						
PSEZ:	Yes						
Local Designation:	Industrial (Brampton OP), Industrial/Parks and Open Space (SP)						
Existing use:	One-storey industrial building/garden centre						
Proposed use:	Request to permit residential uses.						
Area Reviewed:	4.4 ha						
Recommendation:	Not recommended for conversion						
Area Supported for Conversion:	N/A						
	Cummony						

Summary

This site is located south of Williams Pkwy on the east side of McLaughlin Rd., and is designated Industrial in the Brampton West Secondary Plan Area. The site is adjacent to residential and park uses to the north, east, and south, and a small employment area across McLaughlin Rd. to the west. The site's current use is a greenhouse business.

This site was originally considered as part of Brampton's 2015 MCR and reviewed in Cushman & Wakefield's Market Analysis of Employment Land Conversions as well as Hemson's analysis of employment land conversions (referred to as the Petrelli/lannace site in these reports). The site was not recommended for conversion due to access limitations and no proposed use/development application submitted, and the City of Brampton did not approve the conversion. Since that time, a request has come forward to permit residential uses on the land, however the issues of site constraints were not addressed in the request, and more information is required to properly assess the site for conversion. In addition, the Region cannot support the conversion without Provincial support in lifting the PSEZ.

Market Analysis of Employment Land Conversions - Cushman & Wakefield

MCR for Employment Lands - Hemson Consulting Is there a need Does the Would Retail/ Would MTSA Lands Maintain Can existing In a Strategic **Required for** and Planned Growth Area? Flexibility for the sufficient proposed use Commercial Infrastructure Conversion? employment employment Impact the Flexibility apply? (draft purposes land if viability of support the apply? (draft policy) removed surrounding use? policy) lands? Υ Υ Ν Υ Ν Ν Ν Ν

B10		Castlepoint Inve	est Inc.								
Applicant:		Malone Given Pa	irsons								
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 15 P	/es – Zone 15 Pearson Airport Hub (Highway 50 Corridor)								
Local Designation	on:	Office (Brampton	Office (Brampton OP), SPA 8 (Office Node – Mixed Commercial/Industrial) (SP)								
Existing use:		Vacant / agricult	Vacant / agricultural								
Proposed use:		Requesting conv	Requesting conversion to introduce mixed uses including offices, retail, live/work, towns and apartments								
Area Reviewed:		8.8 ha									
Recommendatio	n:	The Conversion is not currently supported through the Regional MCR.									
		Non-Employment uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning for the Highway 50 Queen Street MTSA, subject to Flexible Employment Policy (5.7.2.18).									
			SA, subject to Flex	cible Employment	Policy (5.7.2.18).						
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A									
			Sum	mary							
permits a Mixed (the corner of Que BRT). The draft R for a range of mix The conversion re of Queen St. E ar	Office/Commercial een St. E and Hwy Regional Official Pla ked uses to be cons equest would introd nd Hwy 50, as well	and Hwy 50, and is /Industrial centre w 50, and Queen St. an employment pol sidered after the R duce medium and H as on the northern	ith office and comm E and The Gore R icy framework iden egional MCR. high density reside portion of the site	nercial situated alo d. The site is withi tifies the MTSA as ntial to the site, wh . This site appears	ing Hwy 50, and point a potential MTS, s one which benefi ille maintaining the to be identified in	rominent multi-sto A (Highway 50 on ts from flexible em e employment func	rey buildings at the Queen Street ployment policies tion at the corner				
		nation is required t			is time.						
MCR for Employr	ment Lands - Hem	son Consulting									
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment	Maintain sufficient employment land if	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply2 (draft	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft				

Conversion?	employment purposes	employment land if removed	Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Infrastructure support the use?		Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	apply? (draft policy)
Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y (partial)	Ν	Y

B11		1000 Steeles Av	e / Bacardi Site							
Applicant:		GWD Ltd								
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 P	earson Airport Hub							
Local Designation	on:	Business Corrido	r							
Existing use:		Industrial Manufa	cturing							
Proposed use:		To permit a banq	To permit a banquet hall, restaurant and hotel uses							
Area Reviewed:		5.0 ha								
Recommendatio	n:	This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. Additional retail/commercial uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17).								
Area Supported	for Conversion:	n: N/A								
		I	Sum	mary						
Brampton is revie The lands are loc has proposed flex	A proposed use on the site includes an entertainment commercial use, and possible banquet hall, restaurant and hotel uses. The City of Brampton is reviewing the vision for the Steeles Corridor, however this site has not been identified for a change in use at this time. The lands are located directly on Steeles Avenue and within the Business Corridor designation in the Brampton Official Plan, in which the Regio has proposed flexible employment policies for retail/commercial uses to be considered post-MCR through comprehensive planning. In addition, the Region cannot support the conversion without Provincial support in lifting the PSEZ.									
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)			
N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N			

B12		2111 Steeles Av	e E. (Canadian Ti	re)					
Applicant:		Zelinka Priamo L	td.						
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 P	earson Airport Hub)					
Local Designation	on:		Office, Business Corridor (Brampton OP Schedule A), Office, Mixed Use-Office & Retail, Mixed Industrial Commercial (Brampton Secondary Plan 9)						
Existing use:		Canadian Tire Brampton Distribution Centre							
Proposed use:		Office, retail, and	Office, retail, and commercial uses considered on a portion of the site.						
Area Reviewed:		4.9 ha							
Recommendatio		uses may be con	· · · · · ·	cal comprehensive	planning subject t	MCR. Additional no to Flexible Policy (5			
			Sum	mary					
existing Mixed Us portion (approxim zoning within the within the existing goods movement below the noise c The lands are loc has proposed flex are also within the comprehensive p	e-Office & Retail d hately 0.1 hectares employment area; g permissions. The corridors like Stee ontour level 30 (wh ated directly on Ste kible employment p e Bramalea GO M lanning. A convers	lesignation in Seco) of the site at the of these existing des property is in the l eles Avenue and H hereas 30 or above eeles Avenue and policies for retail/co TSA flexible policy sion of the site is no	ondary Plan 9 on the corner of Bramalea ignations may not arger employment ighway 407. It is di e typically spurs air within the Business ommercial uses to be area which may people to commended at	e western portion Road and Steeles require additional area around Pears rectly adjacent to b port noise complai s Corridor designat be considered post ermit a range of mit this time.	of the site (approxi Avenue has exist permissions or a c son International A but outside of the A ints from residents tion in the Brampto -MCR through cor xed uses, again, to	on Official Plan, in v nprehensive planni b be considered por	es), and a small mercial One" sed uses fall ed by major rea, and located which the Region ing. The lands s t-MCR through		
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		
.N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y (partial)	Y		

B13		3420 Queen Street East							
Applicant:		Bridging Finance Inc.							
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 Pearson Airport Hub							
Local Designation	on:	Business Corridor (Brampton OP)							
Existing use:		Vacant							
Proposed use:		Mixed uses inclu	ding residential and	d office					
Area Reviewed:		4.3 ha							
Recommendation:		Additional retail/o Flexible Policy (5	urrently supported f commercial uses m .7.2.17).				g subject to		
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A							
The lands are loc designation in the uses to be consid permit a range of	e border of the noi ated in a the Gore Brampton Official lered post-MCR thi	se contour level 30 way (QUE-12) pote Plan, the site bene rough comprehens	Sum vas requested to be (where 30 or abovential MTSA on the efits from the Region ive planning. The I post-MCR through	e permitted. The pr ve typically spurs a Queen Bus Rapid on's has proposed ands are also with	airport noise compl I Transit line. Being flexible employme in the Goreway MT	aints from resident within the Busine nt policies for retai SA flexible policy	s). ss Corridor l/commercial area which may		
at this time. Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		
Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		

B14		18 Corporation Drive							
Applicant:		Corbett Land Strategies							
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 Pearson Airport Hub							
Local Designation:		Industrial (Brampton OP)							
Existing use:		Commercial & retail							
Proposed use:		Additional retail u	ISES						
Area Reviewed:		1.2 ha							
Recommendatio	n:	Not recommende	ed for conversion						
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A							
		1	Sum	mary					
additional retail, t area. As the prop	he permitted quant erty is not within a oyment area, a co	ity for the site under strategic growth a	ail and other servic er the Brampton of rea and there is no ommended. In add	ficial plan and zoni specific need for a	ng would be excee additional retail use	eded for an industres to justify the site	ial employment e's removal from		
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		
Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	N		

		10 Victoria Creso	cent					
Applicant:		Delta Urban						
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 Pe	earson Airport Hub)				
Local Designatio	on:	Industrial (Brampt	ton OP)					
Existing use:		Vacant						
Proposed use:		Residential and mixed-uses						
Area Reviewed:		1.1 ha	1.1 ha					
Recommendation		Non-employment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	for conversion thro sidered through loc SA.	U U		o Flexible Policy	
Area Supported	ior Conversion:	IN/A						
		1	Sum	mary				
	ty is in the larger e	employment area ar	round Pearson Inte	ernational Airport a	and connected by r	najor goods mover	ment corridors	
Road. The proper like Steeles Avenu above typically sp employment parce issues. The lands are with comprehensive pl across the entire e and address other development of a outlined in draft po	ty is in the larger e ue and Highway 44 ours airport noise c el adjacent to othe hin the Bramalea (anning. A convers employment area o r policy constraints complete commun olicy 5.7.2.18.	employment area ar 07. It is outside of the complaints from resident of employment lands GO MTSA flexible p sion of the site is not comprehensively to s. Any transition to in hity, transit-support	round Pearson Inter the Airport Operation idents). While the s. Introducing resion tolicy area which m to recommended at the avoid or mitigate include mixed and tive densities, a ne	ernational Airport a ng Area and locate site is on the perip dential uses on Vic nay permit a range this time. The intr any adverse affec sensitive uses mu t increase in jobs,	and connected by r ed below the noise hery of the employ toria Crescent ma of mixed uses, to oduction of non-er t to the viability of t st add to the overa	najor goods mover contour level 30 (w ment area, it is a w y introduce land us be considered pos nployment uses m he surrounding em all value to the area	ment corridors whereas 30 or vacant se compatibility st-MCR through ust be considered aployment area a with the	
Road. The proper- like Steeles Avenua above typically sp employment parce issues. The lands are with comprehensive pl across the entire of and address other development of a outlined in draft por <u>Perkins + Will Em</u>	ty is in the larger e ue and Highway 44 ours airport noise c el adjacent to othe hin the Bramalea C anning. A convers employment area o r policy constraints complete commun olicy 5.7.2.18. terging Concept fo	employment area ar 07. It is outside of the complaints from resident of employment lands GO MTSA flexible p sion of the site is not comprehensively to s. Any transition to in hity, transit-support r the Bramalea GO	round Pearson Intention of the Airport Operation of the Airport Operation of the second secon	ernational Airport a ng Area and locate site is on the perip dential uses on Vic nay permit a range this time. The intr any adverse affec sensitive uses mu t increase in jobs, peel MTSA Study	and connected by r ed below the noise hery of the employ storia Crescent ma e of mixed uses, to oduction of non-er t to the viability of t st add to the overa protection for office	najor goods mover contour level 30 (w ment area, it is a w y introduce land us be considered pos nployment uses m he surrounding em all value to the area e uses, and other p	ment corridors whereas 30 or vacant se compatibility st-MCR through ust be considered aployment area a with the barameters	
Road. The proper like Steeles Avenu above typically sp employment parce issues. The lands are with comprehensive pl across the entire e and address other development of a outlined in draft po	ty is in the larger e ue and Highway 44 ours airport noise c el adjacent to othe hin the Bramalea (anning. A convers employment area o r policy constraints complete commun olicy 5.7.2.18.	employment area ar 07. It is outside of the complaints from resident of employment lands GO MTSA flexible p sion of the site is not comprehensively to s. Any transition to in hity, transit-support	round Pearson Inter the Airport Operation idents). While the s. Introducing resion tolicy area which m to recommended at the avoid or mitigate include mixed and tive densities, a ne	ernational Airport a ng Area and locate site is on the perip dential uses on Vic nay permit a range this time. The intr any adverse affec sensitive uses mu t increase in jobs,	and connected by r ed below the noise hery of the employ toria Crescent ma of mixed uses, to oduction of non-er t to the viability of t st add to the overa	najor goods mover contour level 30 (w ment area, it is a w y introduce land us be considered pos nployment uses m he surrounding em all value to the area	ment corridors whereas 30 or vacant se compatibility st-MCR through ust be considered aployment area a with the	

		26 Victoria Cres	cent						
Applicant:		Delta Urban							
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 P	earson Airport Hub)					
Local Designatio	n:	Industrial (Bramp	oton OP)						
Existing use:		Industrial manufa	acturing						
Proposed use:		Residential and r	Residential and mixed-uses						
Area Reviewed:		2.6 ha	2.6 ha						
Recommendation	n:	This site is not cu	urrently supported f	or conversion thro	ugh the Regional I	MCR.			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		al comprehensive	planning subject to	o Flexible Policy		
			Bramalea GO MT	SA					
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A							
		sions and mixed re	Sum						
above typically sp residential to the v	ours airport noise c west), introducing	07. It is outside of t complaints from res residential uses on oduce land use con	idents). While the this site would rep	site is on the peripl	nery of the employ	ment area (and ad	ljacent to		
The lands are with comprehensive pla across the entire e and address other development of a outlined in draft po	anning. A conversemployment area of policy constraints complete communication 5.7.2.18.	GO MTSA flexible p sion of the site is no comprehensively to s. Any transition to nity, transit-suppor <u>r the Bramalea GC</u>	policy area which n ot recommended at o avoid or mitigate include mixed and tive densities, a ne	this time. The intr any adverse affect sensitive uses mu t increase in jobs,	of mixed uses, to oduction of non-er to the viability of t st add to the overa	be considered pos nployment uses mu he surrounding em all value to the area e uses, and other p	t -MCR through ust be considered aployment area a with the parameters		
The lands are with comprehensive pla across the entire e and address other development of a outlined in draft po	anning. A conversemployment area of policy constraints complete communication 5.7.2.18.	GO MTSA flexible p sion of the site is no comprehensively to s. Any transition to nity, transit-suppor	policy area which n ot recommended at o avoid or mitigate include mixed and tive densities, a ne	hay permit a range this time. The intr any adverse affect sensitive uses mu t increase in jobs,	of mixed uses, to oduction of non-er to the viability of t st add to the overa	be considered pos nployment uses m he surrounding em all value to the area	t-MCR through ust be considered pployment area a with the		

B17	376 Orenda
Applicant:	Delta Urban
PSEZ:	Yes – Zone 14 Pearson Airport Hub
Local Designation:	Industrial (Brampton OP)
Existing use:	Industrial manufacturing
Proposed use:	Residential and mixed-uses
Area Reviewed:	2.6 ha
Recommendation:	This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR.
	Non-employment uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy
	(5.7.2.18) for the Bramalea GO MTSA and retail/commercial through Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17).
Area Supported for Conversion:	N/A

Summary

The applicant has proposed conversions and mixed residential uses on multiple properties north of Steeles Avenues and west of Bramalea Road. The property is in the larger employment area around Pearson International Airport and connected by major goods movement corridors like Steeles Avenue and Highway 407. It is outside of the Airport Operating Area, and located below the noise contour level 30 (whereas 30 or above typically spurs airport noise complaints from residents). Introducing residential uses on this site would represent a loss of the existing active industrial use, and may introduce land use compatibility issues with surrounding employers.

The lands are within the Bramalea GO MTSA flexible policy area which may permit a range of mixed uses, to be considered post-MCR through comprehensive planning. A small corner of the site is within the Business Corridor designation in the Brampton Official Plan, benefitting from the Region's proposed flexible employment policies for retail/commercial uses to be considered post-MCR through comprehensive planning. A conversion of the site is not recommended at this time. The introduction of non-employment uses must be considered across the entire employment area comprehensively to avoid or mitigate any adverse affect to the viability of the surrounding employment area and address other policy constraints. Any transition to include mixed and sensitive uses must add to the overall value to the area with the development of a complete community, transit-supportive densities, a net increase in jobs, protection for office uses, and other parameters outlined in draft policy 5.7.2.18.

Perkins + Will Emerging Concept for the Bramalea GO Mobility Hub & Peel MTSA Study

Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y (partial)	Y

B18		387 Orenda						
Applicant:		Delta Urban						
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 P	earson Airport Hub)				
Local Designation	on:	Industrial (Bramp	oton OP)					
Existing use:		Industrial						
Proposed use:		Residential and r	nixed-uses					
Area Reviewed:		2.0						
Recommendatio	n:	Non-employment (5.7.2.18) for the	his site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. on-employment uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy 5.7.2.18) for the Bramalea GO MTSA and retail/commercial through Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17).					
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A						
			Sum	mary				
above typically sp active industrial us ongoing considers movement corrido which may permit A portion of the si employment polic recommended at avoid or mitigate a include mixed and densities, a net in <u>Perkins + Will Em</u>	ours airport noise c se, and may introc ation of Steeles Av or to include additio a range of mixed te is within the Bus ies for retail/comm this time. The intro any adverse affect I sensitive uses m crease in jobs, pro erging Concept fo	07. It is outside of t complaints from res duce land use complete venue, which the si onal land uses as a uses, to be consid siness Corridor des nercial uses to be co oduction of non-em to the viability of the ust add to the over otection for office us r the Bramalea GC	idents). Introducin batibility issues wit te fronts onto, in the strategic growth a ered post-MCR thr signation in the Bra considered post-MC ployment uses mu he surrounding em call value to the are ses, and other para Mobility Hub & Pe	g residential uses of h surrounding emp ne Brampton 2040 area. The lands are ough comprehens ampton Official Pla CR through compre- st be considered a ployment area and a with the develop ameters outlined in <u>sel MTSA Study</u>	on this site would r loyers. However, t Vision as possibly within the Bramal ive planning and te n, benefitting from chensive planning. I address other pol ment of a complete draft policy 5.7.2.	represent a loss of he Region does r e transitioning from a ea GO MTSA flexi echnical justification the Region's propo A conversion of th nployment area co icy constraints. An e community, trans 18.	the existing cognize the a goods ble policy area n. osed flexible ne site is not mprehensively to y transit ion to sit-supportive	
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	
N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y (partial)	Y	

B19		391 Orenda							
Applicant:		Delta Urban	Delta Urban						
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 P	earson Airport Hub)					
Local Designation	on:	Industrial (Bramp	oton OP)						
Existing use:		Industrial							
Proposed use:		Residential and r	Residential and mixed-uses						
Area Reviewed:		4.0 ha							
Recommendatio	n:	This site is not cu	urrently supported f	for conversion thro	ough the Regional N	ACR. Non-employr	nent uses may		
					subject to Flexible	<mark>Policy (5.7.2.18) fo</mark>	r the Bramalea		
			etail/commercial th	<mark>rough Flexible Poli</mark>	icy (5.7.2.17).				
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A							
		sions and mixed re		mary					
Operating Area, a Introducing reside issues with surrou in the Brampton 2 The lands are with comprehensive pl Plan, benefitting f comprehensive pl considered across employment area area with the deve parameters outling	and located below ential uses on this unding employers. 2040 Vision as pos hin the Bramalea (lanning and techni rom the Region's lanning. A conver s the entire employ and address othe elopment of a com ed in draft policy 5	by major goods mo the noise contour li- site would represent However, the Reg sibly transitioning f GO MTSA flexible proposed flexible e sion of the site is no yment area compre- propolicy constraints aplete community, to 5.7.2.18. Perkins +	evel 30 (whereas 3 int a loss of the exis ion does recognize from a goods move colicy area which n small corner of the mployment policies of recommended a chensively to avoid a. Any transition to i ransit-supportive o <u>Will Emerging Con</u>	30 or above typical sting active industr e the ongoing cons ement corridor to in nay permit a range site is within the B s for retail/comment this time. The int or mitigate any ad include mixed and densities, a net incruce to the Brame	ly spurs airport noi ial use, and may in ideration of Steeles aclude additional us of mixed uses, to usiness Corridor de rcial uses to be con roduction of non-er dverse affect to the sensitive uses mus rease in jobs, prote alea GO Mobility Hu	se complaints from troduce land use of s Avenue, which th ses as a strategic of be considered post- esignation in the B sidered post-MCR mployment uses m viability of the surr st add to the over a ction for office uses ub & Peel MTSA S	a residents). compatibility e site fronts on, growth area. t-MCR through rampton Official through ust be ounding Il value to the s, and other		
Is there a need for the	Lands	Maintain	Does the	Can existing	In a Strategic	Would Retail/			
Conversion?	Required for employment purposes	sufficient employment land if removed	proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	Growth Area?	Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		

B20		10394 Hurontario Street					
Applicant:		GWD Urban Plan	ners Ltd.				
PSEZ:		N/A					
Local Designation	on:	Industrial (Bramp	ton OP)				
Existing use:		Vacant					
Proposed use:		Commercial use	for office, medical,	and commercial-r	etail		
Area Reviewed:		0.4 ha					
Recommendatio	n:	Not recommende	ed for conversion				
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A					
			Sumi	mary			
As the property is regional employm In 2020 the City of designations, sub	not within a strate nent area, a conve f Brampton approv	e typical permissic egic growth area an rsion is not recomm ved a City initiated ameters. It is recor accommodated.	d there is no speci nended. OPA to permit an e	fic need for additio	nal retail uses to ju	ustify the site's rer ory uses within inc	noval from the lustrial
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	Ν	N

B21	Properties on Steeles Avenue (Between Kennedy and Highway 410)
Applicant:	Glen Schnarr and Associates
PSEZ:	Yes – Zone 14 Pearson Airport Hub
Local Designation:	Business Corridor (Brampton OP)
Existing use:	Retail Commercial Plazas
Proposed use:	Mixed Use (residential, office, commercial/retail)
Area Reviewed:	23.8 ha
Recommendation:	This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. Retail/commercial uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17)
Area Supported for Conversion:	N/A

Summary

The applicant has proposed conversions and mixed residential, office, and commercial uses on multiple properties on the south side of Steeles Avenue. The property is in the larger employment area around Pearson International Airport and connected by major goods movement corridors like Steeles Avenue and Highway 407, however the existing uses are retail commercial and not functioning as true employment land. It is outside of both the Airport Operating Area and airport noise contours. Introducing residential uses on this site may introduce land use compatibility issues with surrounding employers to the south and requires further study to determine impacts, however the introduction of mixed uses *including* a significant number of jobs through office components of the proposed development could benefit the community and employment opportunities. The Region does recognize the ongoing consideration of Steeles Avenue in the Brampton 2040 Vision as possibly transitioning from a goods movement corridor to include additional land uses as a strategic growth area.

The lands are within the Business Corridor designation in the Brampton Official Plan, benefitting from the Region's proposed flexible employment policies for retail/commercial uses to be considered post-MCR through comprehensive planning. Considering the large size of the site and draft policy flexibility which would work to include jobs in redevelopments, a conversion of the site is not recommended at this time.

Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
N	Ν	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν

B22		150 Bovaird Driv	150 Bovaird Drive					
Applicant:		Glen Schnarr & A	Associates					
PSEZ:		N/A						
Local Designation	on:	Business Corrido	or (Brampton OP)					
Existing use:		Commercial (auto	o sales)					
Proposed use:		Mixed Use (resid	lential, commercial	/retail, office)				
Area Reviewed:		1.2 ha						
Recommendatio	n:		This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. Retail/commercial uses ma be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17).					
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A						
			Sum	mary				
	sting auto dealersh 10064 Hurontario S	•	e proposed on the s	site, including resic	lential, commercia	retail and office u	ses in	
this time. The lan employment polic	ds are within the B ies for retail/comm	usiness Corridor d nercial uses to be c	Hurontario LRT in esignation in the B considered post-M0 oval from the regio	rampton Official Pl CR through compre	an, benefitting fror hensive planning.	n the Region's pro As there is no sp	posed flexible ecific need for	
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	
Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	

B23		10064 Hurontari	10064 Hurontario Street				
Applicant:		Glen Schnarr & A	Associates				
PSEZ:		N/A					
Local Designation	on:	Business Corrido	or (Brampton OP)				
Existing use:		Retail Commerci	al				
Proposed use:		Mixed Use (resid	lential, commercial	/retail, office)			
Area Reviewed:		1.9 ha					
Recommendatio	on:	This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. Retail/commercial uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Polic (5.7.2.17).					o Flexible Policy
Area Supported	ea Supported for Conversion: N/A						
			Sum	mary			
150 Bovaird Drive The City of Bram this time. Huronta Business Corrido retail/commercia	e (B22). pton is exploring th ario Street is a draf r designation in the l uses to be conside	e extension of the t local intensificatio Brampton Officia ered post-MCR thr	Hurontario LRT in Docorridor, which v I Plan, benefitting f ough comprehensi	the future, howeve vould be considere rom the Region's p ve planning. As th	er there is no LRT s d a strategic grow proposed flexible en ere is no specific r	stop or MTSA plan tharea. The lands mployment policies need for residential	ned at Bovaird at are within the
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N

Applicant: PSEZ: Local Designation: Existing use: Proposed use: Area Reviewed: Recommendation: Area Supported for 0	Conversion:	Industrial (Bramp Vacant / agricultu	earson Airport Hub aton OP) ural and Private Schoo)		
Local Designation: Existing use: Proposed use: Area Reviewed: Recommendation:	Conversion:	Industrial (Bramp Vacant / agricultu Place of Worship 8.0 ha	ton OP) ural and Private Schoo)		
Existing use: Proposed use: Area Reviewed: Recommendation:	Conversion:	Vacant / agricultu Place of Worship 8.0 ha	and Private Schoo	DI			
Proposed use: Area Reviewed: Recommendation:	Conversion:	Place of Worship 8.0 ha	and Private Schoo	DI			
Area Reviewed: Recommendation:	Conversion	8.0 ha		bl			
Recommendation:	Conversion		r conversion.				
	Conversion:	Not supported for	r conversion.				
Area Supported for (Conversion:						
••	CONVENSION.	N/A					
			Sum	mary			
Am institutional use (p area is planned largel to the employment gro worship would serve. institutional permission As the lands are not on Region cannot support	by for industrial rowth of Peel. T The distance f ons in industrial otherwise in a s	employment uses, he site is not on th rom this site to res designations in the strategic growth are	, near the future high ne periphery of the sidential areas plan e Brampton Officia ea which mixed use	ghway 427 extensi employment area ined on the wester al Plan. es may be justified	ion, other major tra or adjacent to the i n edge of SP47 ex	ansportation facilitie residential areas in ceeds the distance	es, and is integral which a place of outlined for
Conversion? er	Lands equired for mployment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
N	Y	Ν	Y	Y	N	N	N

B25	Bramalea GO MTSA (KIT-2)
Draft Policy Framework	This MTSA is proposed to form the basis of a draft flexible policy area (5.7.2.18). The lands will continue to be in the employment area, while additional mixed uses (including commercial and residential) may be considered through comprehensive planning work.

B26	Queen Street BRT MTSAs from Torbram to Hwy 50 (QUE-9 to QUE-15)
Draft Policy Framework:	These MTSAs are proposed to form the basis of a draft flexible policy area (5.7.2.18). The lands will continue to be in the employment area, while additional mixed uses (including commercial and residential) may be considered through comprehensive planning work.

B27	9400 Goreway Drive							
Applicant:	Glen Schnarr & Associates Inc.							
PSEZ:	Yes – Zone 14 Pearson Airport Hub							
Local Designation:	Business Corridor (Brampton OP)							
Existing use:	Single residential dwelling							
Proposed use:	Mixed-use including residential, commercial/retail, and office uses							
Area Reviewed:	1.8 ha							
Recommendation:	This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. Retail/commercial uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17).							
Area Supported for Conversion:	N/A							

Summary

The proposed development consists of three mixed use buildings with a total of four towers ranging from 10-12 storeys. The space would be primarily residential with amenity space and retail/commercial. The subject property is on the eastern periphery of the large airport employment area, north of Queen Street. It is directly adjacent to but outside of the Airport Operating Area and located below the noise contour level 30 (whereas 30 or above typically spurs airport noise complaints from residents). Commercial properties line the west side of Humberwest Parkway, providing some buffer from the industrial uses west on Sun Pac Boulevard. The strip of properties between Humberwest Parkway and Goreway Drive (including this site) are within the Business Corridor designation in the Brampton Official Plan, benefitting from the Region's proposed flexible employment policies for retail/commercial uses to be considered post-MCR through comprehensive planning. While there is flexibility for other non-residential uses, the area has not been identified as a strategic growth area and has not been contemplated for the residential uses proposed by the applicant.

In addition, the Region cannot support the conversion without Provincial support in lifting the PSEZ. As there is no specific need for residential uses at this location to justify the site's removal from the regional employment area, a conversion is not recommended at this time.

Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
N	Y	Y	TBD	Y	N	Y	Ν

B28		10124 Hurontari	0				
Applicant:		Glen Schnarr & A	Associates				
PSEZ:		N/A					
Local Designation	on:	Business Corridor (Brampton OP)					
Existing use:		Woodlot					
Proposed use:		Retail, commercial, office					
Area Reviewed:		0.9 ha					
Recommendatio	ommendation: This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. Retail/commercial uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible (5.7.2.17).					o Flexible Policy	
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A					
			Sum	mary			
exploring the extension exploring the extension extension is a draft to designation in the	e proposed on the s ension of the Huror ocal intensification Brampton Official MCR through comp	tario LRT in the fu corridor, which wou Plan, benefitting fi	ture, however ther uld be considered a rom the Region's p	e is no LRT stop of a strategic growth a roposed flexible er	r MTSA planned ir area. The lands are nployment policies	the vicinity at this within the Busine	time. Hurontario ess Corridor
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	N

		2250, 2280 and 2	2300 Queen Stree	t East			
Applicant:		Blackthorn Devel	opment Corp				
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 Pe	earson Airport Hub)			
Local Designation	า:	Business Corrido	r (Brampton OP)				
Existing use:		Auto dealership					
Proposed use:		Mixed uses inclue	ding commercial, c	ffice, residential			
Area Reviewed:		3.5 ha					
Recommendation	:	This site is not cu	rrently supported f	or conversion thro	ugh the Regional I	MCR.	
		Non-employment	uses may be con	sidered through loc	al comprehensive	planning subject to	o Flexible Policy
		(5.7.2.18) for the	Queen Street Torl	oram MTSA and re	tail/commercial thr	ough Flexible Polic	cy (5.7.2.17).
Area Supported for	or Conversion:	N/A					
			Sum	mary			
		0	0	U ,		pposed use on the nercial, office, and	
stacked townhouse The property is with noise complaints fr employment area (area, and may intro The lands are locat employment policie Being within the Bu employment policie recommended at th	hin the Pearson A om residents). M and adjacent to r oduce land use co ted in a the Torbr es are proposed t usiness Corridor o es for retail/comm	Airport Operating A ajor industrial sites esidential to the we compatibility issues am (QUE-9) potent o apply and may potent designation in the E	rea and located in are adjacent to ar est), introducing re (with surrounding of tial MTSA on the C ermit a range of m Brampton Official F	the noise contour nd in the vicinity of sidential uses on the employers and the Queen Bus Rapid T ixed uses to be co lan, the site also b	level 30 (where 30 this property. Whil nis site would furth airport) and furthe ransit line in the P nsidered post-MCI enefits from the Re	nercial, office, and or above typically e the site is on the er encroach on the er assessment is re <u>eel MTSA Study</u> . I R through compreh egion's propos ed f	spurs airport periphery of the e employment quired. MTSA flexible hensive planning. lexible
The property is with noise complaints fr employment area (area, and may intro The lands are locat employment policie Being within the Bu employment policie	hin the Pearson A om residents). M and adjacent to r oduce land use co ted in a the Torbr es are proposed t usiness Corridor o es for retail/comm	Airport Operating A ajor industrial sites esidential to the we compatibility issues am (QUE-9) potent o apply and may potent designation in the E	rea and located in are adjacent to ar est), introducing re (with surrounding of tial MTSA on the C ermit a range of m Brampton Official F	the noise contour nd in the vicinity of sidential uses on the employers and the Queen Bus Rapid T ixed uses to be co lan, the site also b	level 30 (where 30 this property. Whil nis site would furth airport) and furthe ransit line in the P nsidered post-MCI enefits from the Re	nercial, office, and or above typically e the site is on the er encroach on the er assessment is re <u>eel MTSA Study</u> . I R through compreh egion's propos ed f	spurs airport periphery of the e employment quired. MTSA flexible hensive planning. lexible

5.3 Mississauga Sites

M1		Lakeview Water	front						
Applicant:		Review by City o	f Mississauga						
PSEZ:		N/A	N/A						
Local Designation	n:	Business Employment (Mississauga OP)							
Existing use:		Retail, Commerc	Retail, Commercial, some Industrial						
Proposed use:		Residential/mixe	duse						
Area Reviewed:		24.8 ha							
Recommendatio	n:	This site is suppo	orted for conversion	n.					
Area Supported	for Conversion:	24.8 ha							
			Sum	mary					
designated Utility complete commun protect and enhan Lakeview Waterfri business employin complete, the Lak underused prime	and Business Emp nity that will feature ace environmental ont policies are the nent lands within t eview Waterfront waterfront space.	hade through <u>Missi</u> ployment, but was e housing, employr systems. e result of extensiv he Lakeview Wate Major Node will co Certain lands desig vation Corridor. Th Maintain	evaluated through nent and recreatio e public, stakehold rfront Major Node mplement surround gnated Business E	the Inspiration Lak n opportunities, tra ler, and inter-gove will support the imp ding low density re mployment within t	eview Master Plan Insportation and co rnmental consultat plementation of the sidential and mixed the Major Node will	i process and rede ommunity infrastruction, and the conver- Inspiration Lakevi d use lands and op l be retained and a	signed as a cture, and will rsion of certain ew vision. Once timize previously		
for the Conversion?	Required for employment purposes	sufficient employment land if removed	proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	Growth Area?	Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		
Y	Ν	Y (jobs replaced)	TBD	Y	Y	Ν	N		

M2		Dundas Connec	ts – Dixie & Mavis	s Areas				
Applicant:		Review by City of	f Mississauga					
PSEZ:		N/A						
Local Designation:		Mixed Use and B	usiness Employme	ent (Mississauga C)P)			
Existing use:		Retail, Commercial, some industrial						
Proposed use:		Mixed Use (poss	ible residential), Er	nployment Mixed l	Jse			
Area Reviewed:		136.7						
Recommendation:		This site is supported for conversion.						
Area Supported for Co	onversion:	136.7						
			Sum	mary				
the Dundas Connects N Lands proposed for corr logistics functions. The system is planned along for Major Transit Station made possible, thereby Connects planning proc conversion through add uses has also been corr Is there a need for the Rec								
	ployment urposes	employment land if removed	impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Infrastructure support the use?		Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	apply? (draft policy)	
Y	N	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	N	

M3		Great Punjab Co	entre (Airport Roa	d and Drew Road	I)					
Applicant:		Review by City o	f Mississauga							
PSEZ:		N/A								
Local Designation	on:	Employment area	Employment area – Business Employment (Mississauga OP)							
Existing use:		Retail/Commerci	Retail/Commercial, Vacant							
Proposed use:		Recognize existi	Recognize existing commercial uses and additional commercial development onsite.							
Area Reviewed:		7.4 ha								
Recommendatio	on:	This site is suppo	orted for conversio	n.						
Area Supported	for Conversion:	7.4 ha								
		I	Sum	mary						
existing use of the low density reside primarily warehou <u>Report</u>), which ide space, creating be The request for cr consider retaining of community dev	e site and allow for ential to the east, c using and light indu entified an opportu- etter pedestrian lin conversion highligh g the local busines velopment.	the enhancement community and parl ustrial uses. The sin inity to improve the akages between the ts that the site will s employment desi	ne southwest corne of the adjacent into k space to the sout te was reviewed in intersection of Airp e site and adjacent continue to prohibit gnation while still b	ersection. The site h, and the larger N the context of the port Rd. and Morni Gurdwara and par residential uses. I peing able to contin	Features a large b lortheast Employm MyMalton study (<u>M</u> ng Star Dr. by enh rk, and making bet Regional staff enco nue with the desire	usiness and shopp nent Area to the n c <u>AyMalton Commun</u> ancing the existing ter use of underuti burage local munic d changes to the s	bing centre, with orth and west with hity Vision Final g c ommunity lized parking. hipal staff to hite in the interest			
Is there a need for the conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)			
		Temoved	removedsurrounding lands?use?policy)YNYNN							

M4	Clarkson GO Station Area (LWGO-2)
Draft Policy Framework:	This MTSA is proposed to form the basis of a draft flexible policy area (5.7.2.18). The lands will continue to be in the employment area, while additional mixed uses (including commercial and residential) may be considered through comprehensive planning work.

M13		2120 Dundas St	. E						
Applicant:		Orest Stoyanovs	kyy						
PSEZ:		N/A							
Local Designation	on:	Employment Area	Employment Area – Mixed Use (Mississauga OP)						
Existing use:		Retail/Commerci	al						
Proposed use:		Mixed use comm	ercial/residential d	evelopment					
Area Reviewed:		0.5 ha	0.5 ha						
Recommendatio	n:	This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR. Retail/commercial uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17).					ercial uses may		
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A							
			Sum	mary					
This site is currer the <u>Dundas Conn</u> redevelopment is Mississauga Offic through compreh	ntly designated Mix nects Master Plan. not recommended cial Plan, in which t ensive planning.	on was received by ted Use within the I The site is also nea I or permitted within the Region has pro	Dixie Employment ar Etobicoke Creel n the existing polic posed flexible emp	Area. It is located Focus and within y context. The land bloyment policies fo	outside of the lands a regulatory flood ds are within the m or retail/commercia	s proposed for cor blain. Given this co ixed use designati al uses to be consid	nversion to reflect onstraint, on in the dered post-MCR		
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and planned infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		
Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N		

M14		Dundas St. E &	Mattawa Ave						
Applicant:		Glen Schnarr & A	Associates						
PSEZ:		Yes - Zone 13 42	27 QEW						
Local Designation	on:	Employment Area	Employment Area - Business Employment (Mississauga OP)						
Existing use:		Industrial / Truck Storage							
Proposed use:	ed use: Conversion from employment lands to other developable uses (unknown).								
Area Reviewed:	Area Reviewed: 7.3 ha								
Recommendation: Not supported for conversion									
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A							
		•	Sum	mary					
the north along N through the <u>Dund</u> proposal (M14) a	attawa Avenue. Th <u>as Connects Mast</u> re not supported fo	ative non-employmene properties fronti er Plan, and are su or conversion. In ac nversion without P	ng the north-south upported for conve ldition, only part of	arm of Mattawa A rsion. The 7.3 hect the site is within a	venue are within th ares of land to the	he area considered south and identifie	l separately ed as this		
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)		
N	Y	Y	Unknown	Unknown	Y	N	N		

M15		Stanfield						
Applicant:		Glen Schnarr & A	Associates					
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 13	Yes – Zone 13					
Local Designation	on:	Employment Are	a - Business Emplo	oyment (Mississau	ıga OP)			
Existing use:	Commercial							
Proposed use: Non-employment								
Area Reviewed:		6.7 ha						
Recommendatio	ecommendation: Not supported for conversion							
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A						
		1	Sum	mary				
Connects Master	Plan, but does not	have frontage on	ent uses be consic Dundas Street and g uses on the prope	I was not identified	I for mixed use or e	employment conve	rsion potential.	
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	
Ν	Ν	Y	Unknown	Unknown	Y	N	N	

M17		1000 Dundas St	reet East				
Applicant:		Plan Logic Const	ulting Inc.				
PSEZ:		N/A					
Local Designation	on:	Employment Area	a - Mixed Use (Mis	sissauga OP)			
Existing use:		Retail / Auto Sales					
Proposed use:		Retail, Office, Residential Uses					
Area Reviewed:		0.4 ha					
Recommendatio	n:	Supported for conversion					
Area Supported	for Conversion:	0.4 ha					
			Sum	mary			
by the applicant.	The lands are with as Connects Mast	in a proposed MTS	to be redeveloped SA on the Dundas B orted for conversion	Bus Rapid Transit	corridor the lands	were considered fo	or mixed uses
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)
Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	N

M22		1699-1701 Dundas St. E								
Applicant:		ATA Architects Inc.								
PSEZ:		N/A								
Local Designation:		Employment Area - Mixed Use (Mississauga OP)								
Existing use:		Place of Worship								
Proposed use:		Senior's residence, retail at grade, with the place of worship maintained								
Area Reviewed:		1.3 ha								
Recommendation:		Supported for conversion								
Area Supported for Conversion:		1.3 ha								
		I	Sumi	mary						
An 8-storey mixed use development was proposed which would maintain the existing place of worship while adding a senior's residence and retail commercial uses at grade. At the time of the request, the applicant did also recognize that the Region was in the early planning phases of the Twin Pines redevelopment and affordable housing project and expressed interest in the process. The lands are within a proposed MTSA on the Dundas Bus Rapid Transit corridor and were considered for mixed uses through the Dundas Connects Master Plan and supported for conversion. The land area is accounted for in the conversion request M2 – Dundas Connects Mavis & Dixie.										
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding lands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)			
Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N			

M23		5170 Dixie Road									
Applicant:		Sajecki Planning									
PSEZ:		Yes – Zone 14 Pearson Airport Hub									
Local Designation	on:	Employment Area - Mixed Use (Mississauga OP)									
Existing use:		Retail Commercial									
Proposed use:		Mixed use (retail, office, residential)									
Area Reviewed:		1.9 ha									
Recommendation:		This site is not currently supported for conversion through the Regional MCR.									
		Retail/commercial uses may be considered through local comprehensive planning subject to Flexible Policy (5.7.2.17).									
Area Supported	for Conversion:	N/A									
	Summary										
The site was proposed to be removed from the employment area to permit three residential towers (of 25 storeys), with retail and restaurant uses on the ground floor, and two storeys of office uses above at the southeast corner of the building. The site is located within a commercial strip north of Eglington Ave which serves the adjacent employment area, however the business employment designation continues the parcel directly to the west. The property is in the Northeast Employment area but is outside of the Pearson Airport Operating Area and below the noise contour level 30 (whereas 30 or above typically spurs noise complaints). The lands are located directly on Dixie Road and within the mixed use designation in the Mississauga Official Plan, in which the Region has proposed flexible employment policies for retail/commercial uses to be considered post-MCR through comprehensive planning. A conversion to permit additional uses like residential is not supported at this time. In addition, the Region cannot support the conversion without Provincial support in lifting the PSEZ.											
Is there a need for the Conversion?	Lands Required for employment purposes	Maintain sufficient employment land if removed	Does the proposed use Impact the viability of surrounding Iands?	Can existing and Planned Infrastructure support the use?	In a Strategic Growth Area?	Would Retail/ Commercial Flexibility apply? (draft policy)	Would MTSA Flexibility apply? (draft policy)				
N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N				